

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/647,736	10/04/2000	Caroline A M Lebre	36-1358	2449
7590 11/22/2004			EXAMINER	
Nixon & Vanderhye			CARDONE, JASON D	
8th Floor 1100 North Glebe Road Arlington, VA 22201-4714			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2145	
			DATE MAILED: 11/22/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

v;	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/647,736	LEBRE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
-	Jason D Cardone	2145				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app						
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	86(a). In no event, however, may a reply b within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS f cause the application to become ABANDO	e timely filed days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication. DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 Au	<u>igust 2004</u> .					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This						
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-28 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	i.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applic ity documents have been rece (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	cation No eived in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summ					
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7. 	Paper No(s)/Mai 5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:	il Date al Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/647,736

Art Unit: 2145

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is responsive to the remarks of the applicant (Paper No. 8) filed on 8/2/04. Claims 1-28 are presented.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chang, USPN 6,282,580, in view of Whalen et al. ("Whalen"), USPN 5,948,066.
- 4. Regarding claim 21, Chang discloses a proxy for use in a distributed computing environment wherein a client and a server object process data, the proxy being operable to send the server object from a first place where it communicates with the client, through the distributed computing environment towards a second different place to perform data processing [Chang, col. 1, lines 53-60, col. 2, lines 36-49, and col. 5, lines 7-58].

Chang does not disclose a server, in the first place, communicating to the client. However, Whalen, in the same field of endeavor, discloses communication between a client and a server, in a first place [Whalen, col. 7, lines 16-49]. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to

Application/Control Number: 09/647,736

Art Unit: 2145

incorporate a server communication, in the first place, taught by Whalen, into the objectoriented system, taught by Chang, in order to distinguish which server is which.

Page 3

- 5. Regarding claim 22, Chang-Whalen further discloses freezing incoming calls for data processing to the agent at the first place whilst it is being sent from the first place to the second place, and thereafter to direct the frozen calls towards the second place to be processed by the server when it has become functional at the second place [Chang, col. 5, lines 7-58] [Whalen, col. 5, lines 42-62].
- 6. Regarding claim 23, Chang-Whalen further discloses waiting for the server to complete its current processing tasks before sending it to the second place [Chang, col. 6, lines 52-63] [Whalen, col. 5, lines 42-62].
- 7. Regarding claim 24, Chang-Whalen further discloses serializing the server from an operational configuration at the first place into a configuration suitable for transmission through the distributed environment to the second place [Chang, col. 5, lines 7-58] [Whalen, col. 7, lines 16-49].
- 8. Regarding claims 1-20 and 25-28, claims 1-20 and 25-28 have similar limitations as claims 21-24. Therefore, they are rejected under Chang-Whalen for the same reasons set forth in the rejection of claims 21-24 [Supra 21-24].

Application/Control Number: 09/647,736 Page 4

Art Unit: 2145

Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments filed 8/2/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

10. (A) Neither Chang nor Whalen discloses a mobile server within a distributed computing environment.

As to point (A), Chang discloses a proxy being operable to send the server object from a first place where it communicates with the client, through the distributed computing environment towards a second different place to perform data processing [Chang, col. 2, lines 36-49, and col. 5, lines 7-58]. It is noted that the feature upon which applicant relies (i.e., "mobile server") is not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See In re Van Geuns, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993). During patent examination and prosecution, claims must be given their broadest reasonable interpretation (ie. "server" and "distributed computing environment"). The proxy object of Chang does the same function as the "server" in the instant claim but does specifically disclose a server, in the first place, communicating to the client. However, Whalen, in the same field of endeavor, discloses communication between a client and a server, in a first place [Whalen, col. 7, lines 16-49]. Therefore, it is the combination of Chang and Whalen that discloses a server within a distributed environment.

Application/Control Number: 09/647,736

Art Unit: 2145

11. (B) Neither Chang nor Whalen discloses freezing incoming calls for the server at a first place while the server is being sent form the first place to a second different place.

As to point (B), the combination of Chang and Whalen discloses freezing incoming calls for the server [ie. held, Whalen, col. 5, lines 42-62] at a first place while the server is being sent form the first place to a second different place [ie. moving from the first place to a second place, Chang, col. 5, lines 22-47]. During patent examination and prosecution, claims must be given their broadest reasonable interpretation.

Conclusion

12. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Page 6

13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jason D Cardone whose telephone number is (703) 305-8484. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Thu. (9AM-6PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jack Harvey can be reached on (703) 305-9705. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jason D Cardone Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2145

November 17, 2004